



National  
Comprehensive  
Cancer  
Network®

# S U P P L E M E N T

# JNCCN

Volume 9 Supplement 5

Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network

---

## NCCN Task Force Report: Evaluating the Clinical Utility of Tumor Markers in Oncology

---

*Phillip G. Febbo, MD; Marc Ladanyi, MD; Kenneth D. Aldape, MD;  
Angelo M. De Marzo, MD, PhD; M. Elizabeth Hammond, MD;  
Daniel F. Hayes, MD; A. John Iafrate, MD, PhD; R. Kate Kelley, MD;  
Guido Marcucci, MD; Shuji Ogino, MD, PhD, MS; William Pao, MD, PhD;  
Dennis C. Sgroi, MD; and Marian L. Birkeland, PhD*

CE Provided by NCCN

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®) appreciates that supporting companies recognize NCCN's need for autonomy in the development of the content of NCCN resources. All NCCN content is produced completely independently. The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) are not intended to promote any specific therapeutic modality. The distribution of this task force report is supported by educational grants from Dendreon Corporation, Genomic Health, Inc., Genoptix Medical Laboratory, and Pfizer.

NCCN.org



Harborside  
Press®

## Continuing Education Information

### CME Accreditation

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The NCCN designates this journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 0.75 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation on the activity.

This educational activity was planned and produced in accordance with ACCME Essential Areas and Policies.

The NCCN adheres to the ACCME Standards for Commercial Support of Continuing Medical Education.

The NCCN is accredited as a provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's (ANCC) Commission on Accreditation.

This activity is approved for 0.75 contact hours. Approval as a provider refers to recognition of educational activities only and does not imply ANCC Commission on Accreditation approval or endorsement of any product.

Kristina M. Gregory, RN, MSN, OCN, is our nurse planner for this educational activity.

### Target Audience

This educational program is designed to meet the needs of oncologists, advanced practice nurses, and other clinical professionals who treat and manage patients with cancer.

### Educational Objectives

After completion of this CME/CE activity, participants should be able to:

- Distinguish between a prognostic factor and a predictive factor as they apply to oncology
- Define the term “clinical utility” as it applies to the incorporation of tumor markers into clinical practice guidelines
- Describe the factors considered in the evaluation of the clinical utility of a tumor marker in oncology
- Describe the complementary roles played by pathologists and clinicians in ensuring accurate testing of tumor markers
- Explain the difference between the terms “laboratory certification” and “laboratory accreditation” as they apply to pathology laboratories performing tumor marker testing
- Describe the importance of pathologic expertise, internal quality control standards, and external quality assurance monitoring in determining the reliability of tumor marker testing
- Explain the significance of FDA approval as it applies to a diagnostic test
- Summarize initiatives for transitioning basic and translational research findings on tumor markers into clinical practice

The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the participating faculty and not those of NCCN, Dendreon Corporation, Genomic Health, Inc., Genoptix Medical Laboratory, Pfizer, or the manufacturers of any products mentioned herein.

This publication may include the discussion of products for indications not approved by the FDA.

Participants are encouraged to consult the package inserts for updated information and changes regarding indications, dosages, and contraindications. This recommendation is particularly important with new or infrequently used products.

### Activity Instructions

Participants will read all portions of this monograph, including all tables, figures, and references. To receive your continuing education credit and certificate, visit <http://www.cvent.com/d/rcq8rk> to complete the post-test and evaluation. A minimum passing score of 70% is required on the post-test to be eligible for credits. If a minimum score is not achieved, you will be sent an e-mail with the opportunity to retake the test.

All post-test scores must be received by midnight on November 25, 2012 in order to be eligible for credit.

It should take approximately 0.75 hours (45 minutes) to complete the activity as designed. There is no registration fee for this activity. Certificates for passing scores will be e-mailed within 15 business days of submission of post-test and evaluation.

Copyright 2011, National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any other form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, without first obtaining written permission from the NCCN.

## NCCN Task Force Report: Evaluating the Clinical Utility of Tumor Markers in Oncology Panel Members

<sup>†</sup>Phillip G. Febbo, MD/Co-Chair  
UCSF Helen Diller Family  
Comprehensive Cancer Center

<sup>†</sup>Marc Ladanyi, MD/Co-Chair<sup>‡</sup><sup>Δ</sup>  
Memorial Sloan-Kettering  
Cancer Center

<sup>†</sup>Kenneth D. Aldape, MD<sup>‡</sup>  
The University of Texas  
MD Anderson Cancer Center

<sup>†</sup>Robert L. Becker, Jr., MD, PhD<sup>‡</sup>  
U.S. Food and Drug Administration  
Marian Birkeland, PhD  
National Comprehensive  
Cancer Network

<sup>†</sup>Barbara Conley, MD<sup>†</sup>  
National Cancer Institute

<sup>†</sup>Angelo M. De Marzo, MD, PhD<sup>ω</sup>  
The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive  
Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins

<sup>†</sup>M. Elizabeth Hammond, MD<sup>‡</sup>  
Huntsman Cancer Institute at the  
University of Utah

<sup>†</sup>Daniel F. Hayes, MD<sup>†</sup>  
University of Michigan Comprehensive  
Cancer Center

<sup>†</sup>A. John Iafrate, MD, PhD<sup>‡</sup>  
Massachusetts General Hospital and  
Harvard Medical School

<sup>†</sup>R. Kate Kelley, MD<sup>†</sup>  
UCSF Helen Diller Family  
Comprehensive Cancer Center

<sup>†</sup>Guido Marcucci, MD<sup>†</sup><sup>P</sup>  
The Ohio State University  
Comprehensive Cancer Center –  
James Cancer Hospital and  
Solove Research Institute

Shuji Ogino, MD, PhD, MS<sup>‡</sup>  
Dana-Farber Cancer Institute; Brigham  
and Women's Hospital;  
Harvard Medical School

William Pao, MD, PhD<sup>†</sup><sup>P</sup>  
Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center

<sup>†</sup>Dennis C. Sgroi, MD<sup>‡</sup>  
Massachusetts General Hospital

KEY:

<sup>†</sup>Chair; <sup>†</sup>Presenter; <sup>‡</sup>Ex officio panel  
member

Specialties: <sup>†</sup>Medical Oncology;  
<sup>‡</sup>Pathology/Anatomic Pathology;  
<sup>Δ</sup>Genetics; <sup>ω</sup>Urology; <sup>‡</sup>Hematology  
Oncology; <sup>P</sup>Internal Medicine

### Disclosure of Affiliations and Significant Relationships

Dr. Febbo has disclosed that he has no financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors.

Dr. Ladanyi has disclosed that he has financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors. He is a speaker for Infinity Pharmaceuticals.

Dr. Aldape has disclosed that he has no financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors.

Dr. Becker has disclosed that he has no financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors.

Dr. Birkeland has disclosed that she has financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors. She owns stock in GlaxoSmithKline. She is an employee of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network.

Dr. Conley has disclosed that she has financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors. She received clinical research support from sanofi-aventis; Endocyte, Inc.; and ImClone Systems.

Dr. De Marzo has disclosed that he has no financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors.

Dr. Hammond has disclosed that she has no financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors.

Dr. Hayes has disclosed that he has financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors. He receives clinical research support from GlaxoSmithKline; Pfizer Inc.; Novartis AG; and Veridex, LLC. He is a consultant for Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. and BioMarker Strategies, and owns stock in Oncimmune LLC. He also holds patents with Immunicon Corporation and University of Michigan.

Dr. Iafrate has disclosed that he has financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors. He is a consultant for Pfizer Inc., and Abbott Laboratories.

Dr. Kelley has disclosed that she has financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors. She receives clinical research support from Genomic Health, Inc.

Dr. Marcucci has disclosed that he has no financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors.

Dr. Ogino has disclosed that he has no financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors.

Dr. Pao has disclosed that he has financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors. He receives clinical research support from Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; and Xcovery. He is a consultant for Clovis Oncology; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; MolecularMD; and Symphony Evolution, Inc. He received license compensation from MolecularMD.

Dr. Sgroi has disclosed that he has financial interests, arrangements, or affiliations with the manufacturers of any products or devices discussed in this report or their competitors. He receives patent compensation from bioTheranostics, Inc.

## Post-test

1. In order for molecular test results to be used to determine patient care, the test must be:
  - a. FDA-approved
  - b. FDA-cleared
  - c. Performed in a CLIA laboratory
  - d. Any of the above
  - e. All of the above
2. If a given test is approved or cleared by the FDA, this indicates that it has proven clinical utility. Is this statement true or false?
  - a. True
  - b. False
3. What is detected by the companion diagnostic recently FDA approved with vemurafenib for treatment of metastatic melanoma?
  - a. *ALK* gene fusion
  - b. *ESR1* overexpression
  - c. *BRAF* V600 mutation
  - d. *KRAS* mutation
  - e. Serum PSA
4. A hypothetical test for determining somatic mutation in a newly identified gene has been shown to predict response to a new chemotherapy agent. If use of the test is required for prescription of the drug, and both are approved by the FDA, the test would be called a:
  - a. Laboratory-developed test (LDT)
  - b. CLIA-certified test
  - c. Companion diagnostic
  - d. CAP-certified test
5. Which of the following must have proven clinical validity?
  - a. An LDT performed in a CLIA laboratory
  - b. An FDA-cleared test
  - c. An FDA-approved test
  - d. A molecular test performed in a research laboratory
  - e. a and b
  - f. b and c
  - g. a, b, c, and d
  - h. a and d
  - i. None of the above
6. The Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendment (CLIA) of 1988 is administered by:
  - a. FDA
  - b. CMS
  - c. CAP
  - d. NCCN
7. What can be done to help prove clinical utility of a molecular test?
  - a. Demonstrate that test performance is reproducible in 3 or more clinical laboratories.
  - b. Demonstrate that test results predict response/lack of response to a given therapy.
  - c. Demonstrate that test results show which subset of patients have the longest progression-free survival.
  - d. Demonstrate that test results are statistically significant.
8. Which molecular test is predictive for response to trastuzumab?
  - a. *HER2(ERBB2)* protein expression by immunohistochemistry
  - b. *ER- $\alpha$ (ESR1)/PgR(PR)* protein expression by immunohistochemistry
  - c. *HER2(ERBB2)* amplification by FISH
  - d. a and b
  - e. a and c
  - f. a, b, and c
9. Which tests have proven clinical utility in NSCLC?
  - a. *EGFR* mutation and *KRAS* mutation
  - b. *ERCC1* expression and *BRAF* mutation
  - c. *ALK* gene fusion and *EGFR* mutation
  - d. *ALK* gene fusion and *KRAS* mutation
10. Which molecular tests have proven clinical utility and outperform Gleason sum as a prognostic for localized prostate cancer?
  - a. Baseline PSA level
  - b. Urine PCA3 testing
  - c. Circulating tumor cell analysis
  - d. Gene expression analysis
  - e. All of the above
  - f. None of the above
11. *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutations have been detected in what types of cancers:
  - a. AML
  - b. NSCLC
  - c. Glioma
  - d. a and b
  - e. a and c
  - f. b and c
  - g. None of the above
12. Which methods of testing have proven analytic validity for MSI/MMR assessment in colon cancer?
  - a. PCR for MSI
  - b. Flow cytometry for MSI
  - c. Immunohistochemistry for MMR
  - d. FISH for MMR
  - e. a and b
  - f. a and c
  - g. b and c
  - h. c and d

### To Receive Credit

To receive credit, participants will read all portions of this monograph, including all tables, figures, and references. To receive your continuing education credit and certificate, visit <http://www.cvent.com/d/rcq8rk> to complete the post-test and evaluation.

All post-test scores must be received by midnight on November 25, 2012, in order to be eligible for credit.

It should take approximately 0.75 hours (45 minutes) to complete the activity as designed. There is no registration fee for this activity.