

**NCCN Academy for Excellence and Leadership in Oncology:
October 7, 2010 in New York, NY
Evaluation Summary Report**

The following topics provided practical, relevant information:

Module I: Real World Trends Impacting Cancer Care – Cost, Reimbursement, and Policy.
(Mean: 4.83 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	24	82.76
Agree	4.00	5	17.24
Undecided	3.00	0	0.00
Disagree	2.00	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

Module II: Guidelines Pathways and the IT Superhighway: Impact on Drugs and Biologics Utilization.
(Mean: 4.50 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	16	57.14
Agree	4.00	11	39.29
Undecided	3.00	0	0.00
Disagree	2.00	1	3.57
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		28	100.00
Total number of missing responses		2	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

Module III: Information Needs and Investment Decisions on Wall Street. (Mean: 4.32 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	10	40.00
Agree	4.00	13	52.00
Undecided	3.00	2	8.00
Disagree	2.00	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		25	100.00
Total number of missing responses		5	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

Module IV: Meet the Experts: NCCN Guidelines Panel Chairs Address Hot Topics and Your Burning Questions. (Mean: 4.43 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	12	52.17
Agree	4.00	10	43.48
Undecided	3.00	0	0.00
Disagree	2.00	1	4.35
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		23	100.00
Total number of missing responses		7	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

**NCCN Academy for Excellence and Leadership in Oncology:
October 7, 2010 in New York, NY
Evaluation Summary Report**

Please rate the extent to which you agree or disagree:

Overall, faculty at this program were very knowledgeable about the discussed topics.
(Mean: 4.72 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	21	72.41
Agree	4.00	8	27.59
Undecided	3.00	0	0.00
Disagree	2.00	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

The program format and audience size facilitated discussion and interaction. (Mean: 4.55 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	18	62.07
Agree	4.00	10	34.48
Undecided	3.00	0	0.00
Disagree	2.00	1	3.45
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

I would attend an NCCN Academy program again or recommend that colleagues attend such a program.
(Mean: 4.69 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	21	72.41
Agree	4.00	7	24.14
Undecided	3.00	1	3.45
Disagree	2.00	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

The opportunity to network with experts and peers at the program added significant value for me.
(Mean: 4.07 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	11	37.93
Agree	4.00	10	34.48
Undecided	3.00	7	24.14
Disagree	2.00	1	3.45
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

**NCCN Academy for Excellence and Leadership in Oncology:
October 7, 2010 in New York, NY
Evaluation Summary Report**

The candor with which information was discussed allowed for deeper insight into industry challenges.
(Mean: 4.72 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	21	72.41
Agree	4.00	8	27.59
Undecided	3.00	0	0.00
Disagree	2.00	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

I prefer learning from structured panel discussions rather than lectures with slides. (Mean: 4.41 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	18	62.07
Agree	4.00	7	24.14
Undecided	3.00	2	6.90
Disagree	2.00	2	6.90
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

The length of the program allowed sufficient coverage and discussion of the topics. (Mean: 4.55 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	18	62.07
Agree	4.00	9	31.03
Undecided	3.00	2	6.90
Disagree	2.00	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

The meeting room was appropriate for the audience size. (Mean: 4.07 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	10	34.48
Agree	4.00	14	48.28
Undecided	3.00	2	6.90
Disagree	2.00	3	10.34
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

**NCCN Academy for Excellence and Leadership in Oncology:
October 7, 2010 in New York, NY
Evaluation Summary Report**

This month and city made it convenient for me to attend. (Mean: 4.55 based only on Total Valid responses)

	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	5.00	16	55.17
Agree	4.00	13	44.83
Undecided	3.00	0	0.00
Disagree	2.00	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	1.00	0	0.00
Total Valid		29	100.00
Total number of missing responses		1	
Total number of evaluations completed		30	

How did you hear about this NCCN Academy program?

	Frequency	Percent
Email Announcement	15	51.72
Printed Brochure	1	3.45
NCCN.org	2	10.34
LinkedIn NCCN Academy Alumni Group Announcement	1	3.45
Word of Mouth	7	24.14
Other	2	6.90
Total Valid	29	100.00
Total number of missing responses	1	
Total number of evaluations completed	30	

Other:

- Pfizer employee.
- Colleague.

Additional feedback:

- Excellent program. (x5)
- Thank you. (x3)
- Overall a really informative day. (x2)
- Add/change additional pharma/bio leaders in future Academies.
- Further develop personalized medicine discussion.
- ACO and incorporation of oncology as a specialty.
- Topics were very good overall.
- The first session was excellent. Dr. McGivney did a very good job facilitating the session.
- Maybe have industry participants on some panels. Could be a heated discussion but could provide a different forum.
- Loved all the panels today.
- These only keep getting better—this is the 6th one for me. I returned home with a new perspective on hot topics and have learned something new each time.
- The panel format duplicated many answers because of the need to ask each panelist for his/her comments. It can be inefficient in this manner.
- Great format.
- More audience participation would have been good.
- I did not find extensive opportunities to interact with the panel speakers.
- Dr. McGivney was insightful and humorous—very witty. Loved his style.
- A day well spent.
- Would you consider holding this program in south Florida?

**NCCN Academy for Excellence and Leadership in Oncology:
October 7, 2010 in New York, NY
Evaluation Summary Report**

Additional feedback: (Continued)

- Well worth the time and the expense.
- Dr. McGivney did a tremendous job with moderating.
- The topics were relevant.
- The panels did a great job at providing advice and insight to industry representatives.
- Give out some sort of syllabus (related to discussions).
- I liked Peter Bach, but I see him all the time.
- Given that most of the participants were from larger companies, module III was less than helpful.
- Great job, Dr. McGivney.
- Great overview—payers, investors, NCCN guidelines.
- Would like more payers and a few hospital administrators on the panels.
- A follow-up program on reimbursement perspectives would be great.
- I appreciate the panel discussion for these topics.