

NCCN Guidelines for Bone Cancer V.1.2021 – Follow-Up on 06/12/20

Guideline Page and Request	Panel Discussion/References	Institution Vote			
		YES	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
<p>BONE-B (1 of 6)                      External request:                      Submission from Merck &amp; Co., Inc., (4/29/20) requesting the inclusion of the updated dosing recommendations for pembrolizumab, either 200 mg every 3 weeks or 400 mg every 6 weeks administered as a 30-minute intravenous (IV) infusion until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, or up to 24 months for the treatment of adult patients with microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) bone cancer, to BONE-B (page 1 of 6) in the NCCN Bone Cancer Guidelines.</p>	<p>Based on a review of the data and discussion, the panel consensus supported the inclusion of pembrolizumab, as a footnote, either 200 mg every 3 weeks or 400 mg every 6 weeks administered as a 30-minute intravenous (IV) infusion until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, or up to 24 months as an option for the treatment of adult patients with microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) bone cancer. This is a category 2A, preferred recommendation.</p> <p>See Submission for references.</p>	20	0	0	8
<p>BONE-B (1 of 6)                      External request:                      Submission from Merck &amp; Co., Inc., (3/20/20) requesting that the updated publication by Marabelle, be added as a reference to support pembrolizumab as a treatment option for patients with MSI-H bone cancer (page BONE-B 1 of 5) in the NCCN Bone Cancer Guidelines.</p>	<p>Based on a review of the data and discussion, the panel consensus supported the inclusion of the updated publication by Marabelle, to be added as a reference to support pembrolizumab as a treatment option for patients with MSI-H bone cancer.</p> <p>See Submission for reference.</p>	20	0	0	8
<p>BONE-B (1 of 6)                      Internal request:                      Comment to consider the inclusion of pazopanib as an option for metastatic and widespread disease for chondrosarcoma.</p>	<p>Based on a review of the data and discussion, the panel consensus supported the inclusion of pazopanib for metastatic and widespread disease for chondrosarcoma. This is a category 2A, other recommended recommendation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chow W, Frankel P, Ruel C, et al. Results of a prospective phase 2 study of pazopanib in patients with surgically unresectable or metastatic chondrosarcoma. Cancer 2020;126:105-111.</li> </ul>	20	0	0	8

NCCN Guidelines for Bone Cancer V.1.2021 – Follow-Up on 06/12/20

Guideline Page and Request	Panel Discussion/References	Institution Vote			
		YES	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
<p>BONE-B (1 of 6)                      External request:                      Submission from Agios Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (3/30/20)                      Recommend the addition of ivosidenib to the chondrosarcoma guidelines as a treatment option for patients with chondrosarcoma (any tumor grade) who received prior surgery, systemic therapy, or radiotherapy and have an <i>IDH1</i> mutation.</p>	<p>Based on a review of the data and discussion, the panel consensus supported the inclusion of ivosidenib (for susceptible <i>IDH1</i> mutations) for Chondrosarcoma as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conventional (Grades 1-3). This is a category 2A, useful in certain circumstances recommendation.</li> <li>Dedifferentiated chondrosarcoma. This is a category 2A, useful in certain circumstances recommendation.</li> </ul>	20	0	0	8
<p>BONE-B (2 of 6)                      Internal request:                      The 2019 CTOS presentation suggest VIDE is inferior to VCD/IE for Ewing sarcoma. Should it remain category 1?</p>	<p>Based on a review of the data and discussion, the panel consensus supported the continued listing of VIDE (vincristine, ifosfamide, doxorubicin and etoposide) with a change in category from a category 1, other recommended regimen to a category 2A, other recommended regimen for first-line therapy and primary therapy for metastatic disease for Ewing sarcoma.</p>	12	0	5	11
<p>BONE-B (2 of 6)                      Internal request:                      Suggest adding cabozantinib under second-line systemic therapy options for Ewing sarcoma and osteosarcoma (BONE-B, 3 of 6) (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease).</p> <p>External request:                      Submission from Exelixis, Inc. (4/15/20). Respectfully request that the NCCN Bone Cancer Guidelines Panel consider the inclusion of cabozantinib as a second-line systemic therapy options for Ewing sarcoma (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease) and osteosarcoma (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease).</p>	<p>Based on a review of the data and discussion, the panel consensus supported the inclusion of cabozantinib for Ewing sarcoma and osteosarcoma as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Second-line therapy (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease). This is a category 2A, other recommended regimen.</li> </ul>	20	0	0	8

NCCN Guidelines for Bone Cancer V.1.2021 – Follow-Up on 06/12/20

Guideline Page and Request	Panel Discussion/References	Institution Vote			
		YES	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
<p>BONE-B (2 of 6) Internal request: Listing the regimen, irinotecan ± temozolomide ± vincristine could be interpreted that the combination of just irinotecan and vincristine is appropriate/preferred for Ewing sarcoma.</p>	<p>The Panel consensus was to remove the ± between irinotecan ± temozolomide and present the regimen as follows: irinotecan + temozolomide ± vincristine for second-line systemic therapy options for Ewing sarcoma. This is a category 2A, preferred recommendation.</p>	20	0	0	8
<p>BONE-B (2 of 6) Internal request: Consider removal of ifosfamide/etoposide as a preferred regimen for second-line systemic therapy options for Ewing sarcoma (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease).</p>	<p>The Panel consensus was to remove ifosfamide/etoposide as a preferred regimen for second-line systemic therapy options for Ewing sarcoma (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease).</p>	20	0	0	8
<p>BONE-B (3 of 6) Internal request: Consider moving sorafenib and everolimus from preferred regimens for osteosarcoma for second-line therapy (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease) to other recommended regimens.</p>	<p>The Panel consensus was to move sorafenib and everolimus from preferred regimens for osteosarcoma for second-line therapy (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease) to other recommended regimens.</p>	20	0	0	8
<p>BONE-B (3 of 6) External request: Submission from BTG International Inc. (12/18/19). We propose that the following recommendation be made as a footnote to mentions of high-dose methotrexate for osteosarcoma. In the event a patient receiving high-dose methotrexate experiences delayed elimination due to renal impairment, glucarpidase is strongly recommended in the context of a rising serum creatinine if the 36-hour plasma methotrexate level is above 30 µM, 42-hour level is above 10 µM, or 48-hour level is above 5 µM. Optimal administration of glucarpidase is within 48 to 60 hours from the start of methotrexate infusion.</p>	<p>Based on the review of the data and discussion, the panel did not use the language proposed in the submission. However, the panel supported adding the following language as a footnote to all mentions of high-dose methotrexate for osteosarcoma, <i>In the event a patient receiving high-dose methotrexate experiences delayed elimination due to renal impairment, glucarpidase is strongly recommended.</i></p>	0	20	0	8